1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General		
2	of the State of California JOSE R. GUERRERO,		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General THOMAS P. REILLY, State Bar No. 110990		
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		
5	1515 Clay Street, 20 <sup>th</sup> Floor P.O. Box 70550		
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2224 Facsimile: (510) 622-2121		
7			
8	Attorneys for Complainant <b>BEFORE THE</b>		
9	RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CONSCINER ATTAIRS  STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. R-2023	
12	MICHELLE ROHDE	ACCUSATION	
13	P. O. Box 552 Carmichael, CA 95609		
14	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No.1296		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official		
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California, Department of		
22	Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On April 19, 1985, the Respiratory Care Board issued Respiratory Care		
24	Practitioner License Number 1296 to Michelle Rohde (Respondent). The Respiratory Care		
25	Practitioner License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein		
26	but expired on April 30, 2006 and has not yet been renewed.		
27	<i>                                      </i>		
28			
	1		

# 1 **JURISDICTION** 2 3. This Accusation is brought before the Respiratory Care Board (Board), 3 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are 4 to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. 4. 5 Section 3710 of the Code states, in pertinent part: "The Respiratory Care 6 Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter 7 [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]. . . . " 8 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and 9 revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter." 10 6. Section 3750 of the Code states, in pertinent part: 11 "The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of 12 probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following 13 causes: 14 "(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, 15 16 or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy 17 thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction. . . . "(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any 18 19 provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to 20 violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to 21 violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing 22 with Section 500)...." 23 7. Section 3750.5 of the Code states, in pertinent part: 24 "In addition to any other grounds specified in this chapter, the board may deny, 25 suspend, or revoke the license of any applicant or license holder who has done any of the

"(c) Applied for employment or worked in any health care profession or

26

27

28

following:

environment while under the influence of alcohol. . . . "

8. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states, in pertinent part:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act.

. . .

"(c) Conviction of a crime involving driving under the influence or reckless driving while under the influence. . . . "

#### COST RECOVERY

10. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the

board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case. . . . "

#### 11. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of [the Respiratory Care Practice Act], costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

- 12. Section 3753.1 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation. . . . "

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Working in a Health Care Environment While Under the Influence of Alcohol)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business & Professions Code section 3750.5(c) in that she was under the influence of alcohol while working in a health care environment. The circumstances are as follows:
- 14. On February 27, 2006, respondent was employed as a polysomnographic technologist at the Sutter Sleep Disorders Center in Roseville, California. On that date, she began her regularly scheduled shift, from 1900 hours (7:00 p.m.) until 0730 hours (7:30 a.m.). On February 27, 2006 at approximately 11:00 p.m., D.G., respondent's manager, received a telephone call from one of respondent's co-workers who informed him that respondent appeared to be under the influence of alcohol. D.G. was at home when he received the call, and he immediately drove to the workplace where he observed respondent who appeared to be asleep at her computer workstation. He approached her and smelled a strong odor of alcohol. He woke respondent up, and observed that her eyes were bloodshot, and her breath smelled of alcohol. She admitted that she had been drinking alcohol at the worksite. She showed D.G. her locker and he observed an open bottle of wine which was almost empty, and a full glass of wine in the locker. Based on respondent's objective symptoms and admission, D.G. asked respondent to submit to alcohol

15. Therefore, respondent's act of being under the influence of alcohol while working in a health care environment is a violation of Business & Professions Code section 3750.5(c) and cause to discipline her license.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Conviction)

- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3750(d) and 3752 [substantially related conviction], 3750(g) and CCR1399.370(c) [conviction involving driving under the influence] in that she was convicted upon her plea of nolo contendere to a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving with a blood alcohol level of 0.08% or more]. The circumstances are as follows:
- driving through the intersection of Rampart Drive and Jan Drive in Carmichael, California without stopping at the stop sign. She was stopped by the California Highway Patrol and asked to perform a series of field sobriety tests, which she did not or could not perform as demonstrated. A preliminary alcohol screening test was performed which indicated a blood alcohol level over 0.08%. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence and transported to the Sacramento County Jail. There, she consented to a breath test, which indicated results of 0.26% at 9:13 a.m. Respondent told the arresting officer that she was driving home from work at Sutter Hospitals and she had begun drinking at 6:00 a.m. Respondent subsequently pled nolo contendere to and, on February 17, 2006, was convicted of one misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol level of 0.08% or more). She was placed on informal probation on certain terms and conditions.
- 18. On the basis of the foregoing facts, respondent's conduct constitutes a violation of Business and Professions Code sections 3750(d), 3750(g), and 3752.

1	<u>PRAYER</u>	
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein	
3	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:	
4	1. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number	
5	1296, issued to Michelle Rohde.	
6	2. Ordering Michelle Rohde to pay the Respiratory Care Board the costs of	
7	the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation	
8	monitoring;	
9	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
10		
11	DATED: May 4, 2006	
12		
13	Original signed by Lione Zimmerman for	
14	<u>Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for:</u> STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer	
15	Respiratory Care Board of California	
16	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
17	Complainant	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		